Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,328	OK CHOI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SUCHIRA PANDE	1637
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>SUCHIRA PANDE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Freedman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 February 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE  Amendment to claim language suggested to overcome the pen		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Kenneth R Horlick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ntive Signature – if appropriate)